Part I: Rethinking Foundations
Chapter 2

DECOLONIZING EDUCATION SYSTEMS FOR INCLUSIVE DEVELOPMENT

Shehnaz Yesmin, Gauhati University
Christina Peter, Mar Gregorios College of Law

INTRODUCTION

Education has long been championed as a cornerstone of development and an engine for economic mobility, social cohesion, and democratic participation (Sayed et al., 2016). However, in many postcolonial societies, systems designed to empower learners are often deeply rooted in colonial epistemologies, structures, and languages. These inherited systems tend to marginalize indigenous ways of knowing, prioritize foreign standards of success, and perpetuate socioeconomic inequalities under the guise of neutrality and universality. In doing so, they fail to serve the diverse cultural, linguistic, and intellectual landscapes that they are meant to uplift.

In today's increasingly multipolar and interconnected world, the call to decolonize education has gained renewed urgency. Movements such as the *Rhodes Must Fall*, indigenous language revitalization initiatives, and curriculum reforms across Africa, Asia, and Latin America signal a growing recognition that development cannot be truly inclusive unless it is culturally responsive (Senchenko, 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic has further exposed systemic disparities in educational access and content, reinforcing the need for pedagogies that are not only equitable but also contextually relevant. Decolonizing education is no longer a theoretical aspiration, but a practical necessity for nations seeking to reclaim agency over their developmental trajectories.

This chapter explores what it means to decolonize education in the 21st century by examining the historical legacies, structural challenges, and ideological tensions that shape the current systems (Yacek et al., 2023). How do colonial legacies continue to inform educational priorities, language policies, and evaluation metrics? What role can indigenous knowledge systems play in shaping more inclusive place-based curricula? How can educators, policymakers, and communities collaboratively imagine schooling as reflecting local values without compromising global competencies? Finally, what are the risks of tokenistic or superficial reforms that fail to shift deeper into institutional power dynamics?

Through this inquiry, the chapter aims to foreground education not simply as a tool for development but also as a site of contested meaning—where liberation, identity, and power intersect. In rethinking education, we are ultimately rethinking whose knowledge counts, voices are heard, and futures are possible.[1]

HISTORICAL & CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND

One must first grapple with the historical relationship between schooling and the empire to understand the contemporary call to decolonize education. Colonial administrations across Asia, Africa, and Latin America did not merely impose political control; they institutionalized cultural hierarchies through education (Senchenko, 2020). Schools became tools of assimilation, designed to produce a local elite who could administer colonial systems while remaining ideologically loyal to colonizers. Curricula were often Eurocentric, privileging Western literature, history, science, and languages while systematically devaluing indigenous knowledge systems, oral traditions, and vernacular languages.

This model was not incidental; it was central to the logic of colonial governance. Lord Macaulay's infamous "Minute on Indian Education" (1835), which argued for the creation of "a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect," epitomizes this philosophy (Sharpe, 2014). Across the British, French, Portuguese, and Spanish empires, education was a civilizing mission framed as a benevolent uplift but driven by control and cultural subjugation. Missionary schools further reinforced this dynamic, conflating salvation with Westernization and positioning local cosmologies as primitive or illegitimate.

Following their independence, many postcolonial nations retained their educational architecture. While political sovereignty has been achieved, epistemic sovereignty remains elusive. Modernization theories of the 1950s and 60s, promoted by international donors and institutions, have encouraged developing nations to emulate Western models of growth, including education (Musikavanhu & Scheepers, 2024). Standardized testing regimes, rigid language hierarchies, and imported curricula were seen as prerequisites for progress, often sidelining local realities in favor of universal benchmarks. This legacy continues to manifest in policy decisions that favor English-medium instruction, high-stakes examinations, and urban-centric resource allocation, all of which disproportionately disadvantage rural, indigenous, and marginalized communities.

The conceptual foundations of decolonizing education challenge these paradigms on multiple fronts (Didham et al., 2011). Drawing from postcolonial theory, critical pedagogy, and indigenous epistemologies, scholars argue that education must be reimagined not as a neutral transmission of facts, but as a political process shaped by power and culture. Paulo Freire's notion of education as the "practice of freedom" offers a foundational lens that emphasizes dialogue, critical consciousness, and the co-creation of knowledge. Similarly, the work of Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o on the "decolonization of the mind" highlights the psychic dimensions of linguistic domination and cultural erasure in education.

In more recent years, decolonial theorists such as Walter Mignolo, Catherine Walsh, and Boaventura de Sousa Santos have expanded the discourse beyond the nation-state, framing decolonization as a global epistemic struggle. They argue that decolonizing education entails recognizing a "pluriverse" of knowledge systems, rather than imposing a singular modernity rooted in Western epistemology (Rozali & Lubis, 2024). This includes re-valuing oral traditions, ecological wisdom, communal ethics, and experiential learning as legitimate forms of knowledge and teaching.

Thus, the historical and conceptual background of decolonizing education reveals not only how educational systems became embedded with colonial logic but also how those legacies continue to shape present-day inequalities. Reclaiming education as a space for cultural affirmation, critical inquiry, and collective empowerment requires more than just policy reform; it demands a fundamental rethinking of whose knowledge is valued, how it is transmitted, and for what purpose.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Understanding the imperative to decolonize education requires engagement with a range of theoretical perspectives that illuminate how knowledge, power, and identity are structured and reproduced (Yang & Mauhay, 2024). This section draws on postcolonial theory, critical pedagogy, and indigenous epistemologies to frame the discourse on educational decolonization, grounding the analysis in both historical critique and contemporary relevance.

At the heart of the decolonization project is Frantz Fanon's assertion that colonialism is not merely a political or economic system, but a profound psychological and epistemic invasion (Ndayisenga, 2022). In *The Wretched of the Earth* (1961), Fanon argues that colonial education serves to alienate colonized subjects from their culture, teaching them to see themselves through the lens of the oppressor. This insight remains deeply relevant in modern education systems, where Eurocentric curricula continue to dominate, shaping aspirations, languages of instruction, and standards of excellence. The enduring question, then, is not only what is taught but whose worldview is privileged in the classroom.

Paulo Freire, a seminal voice in critical pedagogy, further advanced this line of inquiry in *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* (1970), where he critiques the "banking model" of education—one in which students are passive recipients of knowledge rather than active participants in its creation. Freire's concept of *conscientização* (critical consciousness) emphasizes education as a practice of freedom, where learners become critically aware of their social reality and empowered to transform it (Hachem & Westberg, 2022). In contemporary debates, Freire's legacy underpins participatory and culturally grounded educational practices that challenge hierarchical standardized models of learning.

From a postcolonial perspective, Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o's work on language and decolonization offers an essential lens. In *Decolonizing the Mind* (1986), Ngũgĩ argues that language is not a neutral tool but a carrier of culture and power (Ndour, 2018). The imposition of colonial languages in schools contends, fragments identity, and erodes local cultural capital. This critique resonates today, as many multilingual societies grapple with language-in-education policies that often privilege former colonial languages over indigenous ones, with direct implications for literacy, cognitive development, and cultural continuity.

Linda Tuhiwai Smith's *Decolonizing Methodologies* (1999) broadened the discussion to include research and knowledge production. Smith, a Māori scholar, underscores how Western research and education systems have historically marginalized indigenous ways of knowing, often positioning them as inferior or unscientific (Smith, 2022). She called for a reclamation of indigenous epistemologies, methodologies, and pedagogiesgrounded in the lived experiences, oral traditions, and spiritual dimensions of native communities. In the modern educational landscape,

this framework supports the integration of indigenous knowledge into mainstream curriculum and policymaking.

Collectively, these thinkers offer a critical foundation for reimagining education as a more inclusive and pluralistic enterprise. When situated within current global movements, such as UNESCO's call for education that fosters global citizenship or the African Union's Agenda 2063, which emphasizes indigenous knowledge and languages, their ideas gain renewed relevance (Grobbauer & Whalen, 2023). They challenge educators and policymakers to move beyond superficial reforms and toward systemic transformations that center dignity, agency, and cultural relevance.

By applying these theories, this chapter adopts a decolonial lens that not only critiques existing structures but also seeks to build alternatives (Mbasalaki, 2022). It views education as a dynamic cultural process, one that must continually negotiate between local knowledge systems and global imperatives while resisting the homogenizing pressures of neoliberalism and cultural imperialism.

CONTEMPORARY CASE STUDIES

Efforts to decolonize education have emerged in diverse contexts, each shaped by specific historical, political, and cultural legacies. These case studies illustrate the complexities, challenges, and possibilities of decolonial praxis across both the Global South and Global North.

1. South Africa: Curriculum Reform and the Shadow of Apartheid

In South Africa, the legacy of apartheid and colonial rule has left a deeply stratified education system where racial and class disparities continue to shape access and outcomes (Veit, 2022). Post-apartheid governments introduced significant reforms, such as Curriculum 2005 and the Outcomes-Based Education Model, with the aim of democratizing knowledge and redressing past injustices. However, critics argue that these efforts have often failed to dismantle Eurocentric content or empower teachers to meaningfully localize instruction.

Movements such as *Fees Must Fall* and *Decolonize the Curriculum*—led by students at institutions such as the University of Cape Town—have attracted renewed attention to the need for African-centered epistemologies, the inclusion of indigenous languages, and the questioning of Western academic canons (Nyamnjoh, 2021). While these movements have sparked important debates, institutional resistance and lack of systemic policy alignment have limited their long-term impact. Nonetheless, South Africa remains a critical site for understanding the tensions between reformist and radical approaches to educational decolonization.

2. New Zealand: Integrating Māori Knowledge in Mainstream Education

In New Zealand, the incorporation of the Māori language (*te reo Māori*) and knowledge systems (*mātauranga Māori*) into mainstream education has been a central pillar of national reconciliation (Lilley, 2019). Initiatives such as *Kura Kaupapa Māori* (Māori immersion schools) and the embedding of bicultural principles in the New Zealand Curriculum represent significant steps toward decolonial inclusion.

The government's Treaty of Waitangi obligations has played a formal role in legitimizing indigenous epistemologies within state education (Moahi, 2022). However, the success of these

efforts varies widely by region and school, and questions remain regarding the depth of teacher training, resource allocation, and the ability of non-Māori educators to engage with Māori knowledge respectfully and accurately. Nevertheless, New Zealand provides a rare example of how structural change, legal frameworks, and cultural revitalization can converge to reshape national education.

3. India: Between Postcolonial Identity and Globalization Pressures

India's education system continues to wrestle with the legacies of British colonialism, particularly the privileging of English-medium instruction and Western-centric content in elite institutions (Myhovych, 2022). While post-independence education commissions emphasized national integration and the promotion of regional languages, English remains the primary medium in many private and aspirational public schools, reinforcing class and caste divisions.

Recent policy developments, including the National Education Policy 2020, have proposed a greater emphasis on mother-tongue instruction in early education and the incorporation of local knowledge (Seid, 2022). However, implementation challengessuch as teacher preparedness, societal perceptions of linguistic hierarchy, and the pressures of a globalized job marketcomplicate these aspirations. India's case illustrates the constant negotiation between cultural affirmation and economic pragmatism in post-colonial educational reform.

4. Canada: Truth, Reconciliation, and Indigenous Education

In Canada, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) has foregrounded the need to address the historical trauma of residential schools and systemic exclusion of indigenous people from educational policymaking (Bauer et al., 2022). The TRC's Calls to Action include the development of culturally appropriate curricula, the integration of indigenous languages, and support for community-controlled education systems.

While some provinces, such as British Columbia's First People's Principles of Learning, have made strides, progress remains uneven (Murphy et al., 2022). Indigenous education continues to be underfunded compared to non-indigenous systems, and resistance persists in integrating indigenous perspectives into mainstream teacher education. Canada's case underscores both the moral imperative and institutional inertia that accompany decolonizing efforts in settler-colonial contexts.

5. Finland: Decolonization Without Colonization

Although not traditionally viewed through a postcolonial lens, Finland offers an intriguing counterpoint as a Global North country with a globally lauded education system that consciously avoids many hallmarks of colonial pedagogy (Preeti, 2022). Its child-centered approach, emphasis on equity, teacher autonomy, and incorporation of local context stand in contrast to many standardized models exported globally.

However, questions of decolonization also arise in Finland, particularly regarding the treatment of Indigenous Sámi people and their right to culturally affirm education (Lundkvist & Sopanen, 2024). Recent policy shifts have aimed to protect Sámi language instruction and include Sámi history in national curricula, although resource limitations and teacher shortages have persisted.

Finland illustrates how decolonizing education is not limited to formerly colonized states but is relevant wherever knowledge hierarchies and cultural exclusions exist.

These case studies reveal that decolonizing education is not a monolithic process, but a dynamic negotiation shaped by history, power, and local realities. They also show that while grassroots movements often lead the charge, sustainable change requires state commitment, institutional reform, and a reimagining of what education is for, and whom it serves.

CRITICAL ANALYSIS

Despite decades of post-independence reforms, many national education systems in the Global South continue to reflect the ideological architecture of colonial rule (Robinson, 2019). From the centrality of European languages as mediums of instruction to the privileging of the Western canon in literature, science, and history curricula, the structural and symbolic remnants of the empire remain embedded in classrooms. These systems often reproduce hierarchies of knowledge that valorize Western rationalism, while casting indigenous epistemologies as anecdotal, informal, or obsolete. Consequently, learners are frequently alienated from their cultural contexts, and education becomes a pathway to assimilation rather than empowerment.

Failures in these systems are evident not only in content but also in form. Examination-based assessment regimes, for instance, tend to reward rote memorization over critical thinking and often ignore diverse intelligences and forms of local knowledge (Randy, 2022). In countries such as India, Nigeria, and Kenya, the dominance of English or French as the language of instruction has created significant barriers for students from non-elite, rural, or indigenous backgrounds. The result is a stratified system in which fluency in a colonial language becomes a gatekeeper of higher education and economic opportunities, reinforcing existing classes and ethnic divisions.

However, there are also emerging successes that offer hope and direction. In several countries, efforts to integrate indigenous knowledge systems and native language education have yielded positive outcomes in learner engagement and retention (Chürr, 2021). For example, Bolivia's intercultural and bilingual education reforms have re-centered indigenous languages and perspectives in public education, while South Africa's post-apartheid curriculum initiatives, despite challenges, have attempted to reframe history from a more inclusive standpoint. In New Zealand, the incorporation of Māori pedagogical principles into mainstream education illustrates the potential of pluralistic models to foster equity and excellence.

However, the evolution of decolonized education is not linear. Some reforms risk being co-opted into symbolic gestures that leave the dominant structures untouched. Curriculum diversification, for instance, often becomes an exercise in superficial inclusion—adding local content without fundamentally questioning the epistemological frameworks that define what counts as "knowledge." Similarly, teacher training programs frequently lack the philosophical and political grounds required to implement decolonial pedagogy in meaningful ways (Ngubane, 2024). Without addressing these deeper issues, reforms may reproduce rather than resolve the exclusions that they seek to dismantle.

Another point of tension lies in balancing global standards with local relevance (Meng et al., 2021). In an era of global rankings, international testing regimes, and education-for-employability

discourses, there is persistent pressure on states to conform to the neoliberal metrics of success. This global benchmarking often sidelines into community-based educational aims that emphasize relationality, ecological stewardship, or cultural continuity. Therefore, the challenge is not to reject global wholesale models but to critically adapt them to local realities—creating systems that are both contextually grounded and globally competent.

In sum, the path to decolonized education is complex, uneven, and contested. Success depends not only on policy shifts but also on the radical reimagining of what education is for and who it should serve (Pownall, 2020). It calls for dismantling inherited hierarchies of knowledge, empowering educators as agents of change, and recognizing learners not as passive recipients of information but as co-creators of meaning within their social and cultural worlds.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS / POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Decolonizing education is not a one-time reform; it is an ongoing dynamic process that demands systemic transformation at multiple levels (Mukherjee, 2021). Future directions must be grounded in the recognition that inclusive development requires more than access to education; it requires rethinking the content, delivery, language, and purpose of the education itself. For policymakers, educators, and international development actors, this entails a shift from technocratic, one-size-fits-all models to pluralistic and participatory approaches that are sensitive to cultural, historical, and epistemic diversity.

One crucial policy direction involves the **integration of indigenous knowledge systems into mainstream curriculum**. This is not merely about adding local history or folklore as elective content, but also embedding indigenous epistemologies, ecological practices, and ethical frameworks into the core of educational experiences (Jaxa, 2024). Such integration affirms the legitimacy of non-Western worldviews and fosters cognitive justice, allowing students to see themselvesand their communitiesas producers of valid knowledge. Governments can support this through curriculum reform bodies that include elders, traditional educators, and community leaders as knowledge co-creators.

Another pressing issue is **language policy in education** (Erarslan & İlhan, 2024). Many postcolonial states continue to privilege colonial languages as the primary medium of instruction, marginalizing mother tongues, and thereby creating early cognitive and emotional barriers to learning. Research has consistently shown that foundational education in a child's first language enhances learning outcomes, especially among marginalized populations. Policymakers should prioritize mother-tongue-based multilingual education, supported by adequate investment in teacher training, material development, and language preservation infrastructure.

Teacher preparation and professional development also require a decolonial approach. **Educators must be equipped not only with subject knowledge but with critical pedagogical tools** that allow them to challenge dominant narratives, engage with cultural difference, and foster inclusive classroom environments (Watkins, 2023). National teacher-training institutions and international development agencies must move beyond standard competency models to embrace reflexivity, cultural humility, and community partnership as core teaching competencies.

At the institutional level, **inclusive governance and community involvement** must be the foundational principles of educational planning (Redaelli & Mason, 2023). Schools should function as democratic spaces where the curriculum, discipline policies, and leadership structures reflect the input of students, parents, and local stakeholders. This participatory governance ensures that education systems remain responsive to local realities, while resisting top-down impositions that often replicate colonial hierarchies.

Finally, international development frameworks, such as the Sustainable Development Goals (particularly SDG 4 on Quality Education), must critically reflect on their own assumptions and metrics (Hosen & Islam, 2023). **Decolonizing education also means decolonizing the development discourse itself**—shifting from deficit-based narratives of the Global South to asset-based frameworks that celebrate resilience, innovation, and cultural depth.

In sum, the future of inclusive development depends on whether education can be reimagined not simply as a pathway to modernity, but as a transformative space where diverse knowledge systems, identities, and aspirations are honored (Becker, 2021). Policy reforms must be bold, intersectional, and deeply rooted in the lived realities of the most affected. Only then can education serve as a true engine for liberation, equity, and sustainable development.

CONCLUSION

Decolonizing education is not a singular act but an ongoing, transformative process that requires dismantling entrenched hierarchies of knowledge while building inclusive systems that reflect the cultural, historical, and intellectual realities of diverse communities (Lebrato, 2024). As this chapter has explored, the colonial legacy of education is not merely historical; it continues to shape what is taught, how it is taught, and to whom it is accessible. In many postcolonial contexts, education remains a pathway to opportunity and a mechanism of exclusion, reproducing global and local inequities under the guise of progress and neutrality.

True inclusivity in development demands the reimagining of educational frameworks that go beyond policy reform or curricular revision (Hanson et al., 2024). It calls for a shift in epistemological orientation—from a worldview that centers Euro-American knowledge as universal to one that recognizes the plurality of ways in which people understand, teach, and engage with the world. This includes valuing indigenous knowledge systems, affirming linguistic diversity, and fostering pedagogies that empower, rather than assimilate.

However, the path to decolonizing education is fraught with tensions between global standards and local relevance, innovation and tradition, and reform and resistance (Carvajal Pérez et al., 2020). Navigating these tensions requires humility, sustained dialogue, and collaborative partnerships between educators, learners, policymakers, and communities. Importantly, it requires that the process not be co-opted by symbolic gestures, but anchored in a genuine redistribution of power and voice.

In an era of widening global inequality and cultural homogenization, decolonizing education offers not just a critique of the past but also a vision for a more just and pluralistic future. It is a call to center the margins, revalue the silenced, and redefine development on terms that honor the dignity, knowledge, and potential of all people.

References

Bauer, H. F., Neal, E. C., Lizon, M. E., Jacek, C. C., & Fritz, K. M. (2022). Indigenous Peoples and Occupational Therapy in Canada: A scoping review. Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy, 89(3), 249–260. https://doi.org/10.1177/00084174221088410

Becker, M. (2021). 'It's All About Education': Middle-class, High-caste Women's Aspirations of Choice, Freedom and Modernity in Urban Nepal. The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology, 22(5), 434–453. https://doi.org/10.1080/14442213.2021.1979091

Carvajal Pérez, D., Araud, A., Chaperon, V., Le Masson, P., & Weil, B. (2020). Creative heritage: Overcoming tensions between innovation and tradition in the luxury industry. Creativity and Innovation Management, 29(S1), 140–151. https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12378

Chürr, C. (2021). THE RIGHT TO MOTHER-TONGUE EDUCATION: PRACTICABLE OR NOT? Obiter, 34(2). https://doi.org/10.17159/obiter.v34i2.12038

Didham, R. K., Kapos, V., & Ewers, R. M. (2011). Rethinking the conceptual foundations of habitat fragmentation research. Oikos, 121(2), 161–170. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.20273.x

Erarslan, A., & İlhan, B. (2024). Language Policy, Planning, and Advocacy in Language Education (pp. 300–317). igi global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3645-8.ch013

Grobbauer, H., & Whalen, M. (2023). Global Citizenship Education (pp. 165–174). transcript. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783839463475-018

Hachem, H., & Westberg, J. (2022). On older learners' naïveté: an examination of the emancipatory function of critical older adult education. International Journal of Lifelong Education, 42(1), 101–116. https://doi.org/10.1080/02601370.2022.2152117

Hanson, U., Orakwe, C., & Okonkwo, C. (2024). Promoting inclusive education and special needs support through psychological and educational frameworks. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6(12), 2968–2982. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i12.1783

Hosen, S., & Islam, M. S. (2023). Ensuring Quality Education to Achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in Bangladesh (pp. 337–360). igi global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-6172-3.ch016

Jaxa, N. P. (2024). Enhancing Indigenous Knowledge Systems in Education through Folklore: A Case Study at the Further Education and Training Phase in South Africa. Journal of Culture and Values in Education, 7(4), 132–148. https://doi.org/10.46303/jcve.2024.45

Lebrato, M. J. (2024). Decolonizing education through Ayuuk indigenous praxis: Three visions from Oaxaca, Mexico. The Journal of Latin American and Caribbean Anthropology. https://doi.org/10.1111/jlca.12717

Rethinking Development: Ideas, Institutions, and Impact in a Changing World.

Lilley, S. (2019). The role of libraries in Indigenous language revitalization: A te reo Māori perspective. Book 2.0, 9(1), 93–104. https://doi.org/10.1386/btwo_00009_1

Lundkvist, M., & Sopanen, P. (2024). Acknowledging Sámi as indigenous people in early childhood teacher education in Finland – A study in the light of values, knowledge, and competencies. Journal of Early Childhood Education Research, 13(1), 68–91. https://doi.org/10.58955/jecer.130140

Mbasalaki, P. K. (2022). Through a Decolonial Lens (pp. 48–65). routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003152644-7

Meng, Q., Bradt, L., Roets, G., & Gray, M. (2021). A critical review of Chinese and international social work: Walking a tightrope between local and global standards. International Social Work, 65(6), 1301–1313. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020872820963424

Moahi, K. H. (2022). The Research Process and Indigenous Epistemologies (pp. 1387–1407). igi global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-4507-5.ch074

Mukherjee, M. (2021). Tagore's Perspective on Decolonizing Education. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.1559

Murphy, S. P., Barrons, Z. B., & Smith, J. D. (2022). Strides to Achieve a Stable Symmetry Index During Running. Journal of Sport Rehabilitation, 31(3), 374–379. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.2021-0194

Musikavanhu, T. B., & Scheepers, E. I. (2024). A Systematic Literature Review of Synchronous E-Learning Challenges in Higher Education Institutions in Developing Nations during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Acta Educationis Generalis, 14(3), 121–137. https://doi.org/10.2478/atd-2024-0023

Myhovych, I. (2022). English Medium Instruction Methodology with Regard to International Education. Education and Pedagogical Sciences, 2 (180), 17–26. https://doi.org/10.12958/2227-2747-2022-2(180)-17-26

Ndayisenga, Z. (2022). Fanon on the Arbitrariness of Using Violence: An Inevitable for Both Colonialism and Decolonization. Journal of Black Studies, 53(5), 464–484. https://doi.org/10.1177/00219347221077273

Ndour, M. (2018). "Securing One's Base in Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o's Ongoing Literary Theory": An Interview. Journal of English Language and Literature, 9(2), 783–785. https://doi.org/10.17722/jell.v9i2.361

Ngubane, T. (2024). Influence of Teacher Training Programs on Quality of Education in South Africa. African Journal of Education and Practice, 9(2), 46–55. https://doi.org/10.47604/ajep.2524

Nyamnjoh, A. (2021). THE PHENOMENOLOGY OF RHODES MUST FALL: STUDENT ACTIVISM AND THE EXPERIENCE OF ALIENATION AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN. The Strategic Review for Southern Africa, 39(1). https://doi.org/10.35293/srsa.v39i1.330

Pownall, M. (2020). Who defines success in higher education? (pp. 247–254). routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429023033-24

Preeti, P. (2022). Agriculture as knowledge: delegitimising 'informal' knowledge through colonial pedagogy in Bihar, 1880–1930. History of Education, 51(4), 522–540. https://doi.org/10.1080/0046760x.2021.1998651

Randy, G. (2022). Korean university students' perceptions of rote memorization as a form of meaningful learning. The Journal of Linguistics Science, 103, 283–299. https://doi.org/10.21296/jls.2022.12.103.283

Redaelli, E., & Mason, D. P. (2023). Participation and Nonprofit Management: Toward Inclusive Governance (pp. 129–147). springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-12089-3_8

Robinson, A. L. (2019). Colonial Rule and Its Political Legacies in Africa. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.013.1346

Rozali, M., & Lubis, N. S. (2024). The Advancement of Western Epistemology in Islamic Education. JUSPI (Jurnal Sejarah Peradaban Islam), 7(2), 139. https://doi.org/10.30829/juspi.v7i2.17214

Sayed, Y., Rodríguez, D., Hanaya, A., & Badroodien, A. (2016). Social Cohesion, Violence, and Education in South Africa (pp. 239–254). springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45289-0_12

Seid, Y. (2022). Mother-tongue instruction and later labour market outcomes: evidence from a natural experiment in Ethiopia. Applied Economics, 54(54), 6254–6271. https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2022.2083067

Senchenko, M. (2020). National bibliography in Asia, Africa and Latin America. Вісник Книжкової Палати, 9, 3–10. https://doi.org/10.36273/2076-9555.2020.9(290).3-10

Sharpe, J. (2014). What Use Is the Imagination? PMLA/Publications of the Modern Language Association of America, 129(3), 512–517. https://doi.org/10.1632/pmla.2014.129.3.512

Smith, L. T. (2022). Indigenous Women's Voices. zed books. https://doi.org/10.5040/9781350237506

Veit, A. (2022). Class-Based Communities: The Postcolonial Reform of School Education in South Africa (pp. 131–144). springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-86645-7_11

Watkins, J. (2023). "That is Still STEM": Appropriating the Engineering Design Process to Challenge Dominant Narratives of Engineering and STEM. Cognition and Instruction, 41(4), 405–435. https://doi.org/10.1080/07370008.2022.2156512

Yacek, D. W., Gary, K. H., & Jonas, M. E. (2023). Moral Education in the 21st Century. cambridge university. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009170291

Rethinking Development: Ideas, Institutions, and Impact in a Changing World.

Yang, W., & Mauhay, R. C. A. (2024). Professional identity, skills, and engagement among education majors in Chinese Universities. International Journal of Research Studies in Education, 13(9). https://doi.org/10.5861/ijrse.2024.24649